IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-cv-00167-MR

RAE PHILLIPS,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	ORDER
ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social Security,	
Defendant.	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 11]; the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 14]; the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation regarding the disposition of those motions [Doc. 19]; and the Defendant's Objections to the Memorandum and Recommendation [Doc. 20].

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and a specific Order of referral of the District Court, the Honorable W. Carlton Metcalf, United States Magistrate Judge, was designated to consider these pending motions in the above-captioned action and to submit to this Court a recommendation for the disposition of these motions.

On April 28, 2020, the Magistrate Judge entered a Memorandum and Recommendation [Doc. 19] in this case containing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of a recommendation regarding the disposition of these motions [Docs. 11, 14]. The parties were advised that any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation were to be filed in writing within fourteen (14) days of service. The Defendant timely filed Objections on May 12, 2020. [Doc. 20]. The Plaintiff filed a reply on May 26, 2020. [Doc. 21].

After careful consideration of the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation [Doc. 19] and the Defendant's Objections thereto [Doc. 20], the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings of fact are correct and that his proposed conclusions of law are consistent with current case law. Accordingly, the Court hereby overrules the Defendant's Objections and accepts the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the Commissioner's decision should be reversed and that this case should be remanded.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Memorandum and Recommendation [Doc. 19] is ACCEPTED; the Defendant's Objections thereto [Doc. 20] are OVERRULED; the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 11] is GRANTED; and the Defendant's Motion for Summary

Judgment [Doc. 14] is **DENIED**. Pursuant to the power of this Court to enter a judgment affirming, modifying or reversing the decision of the Commissioner under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the decision of the Commissioner is **REVERSED** and this case is hereby **REMANDED** for further administrative proceedings consistent with this opinion.

A judgment shall be entered simultaneously herewith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: June 8, 2020

Martin Reidinger

Chief United States District Judge